



## Addendum

1. Statement of Substance of Interview; Return Receipt Postcard

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In Re Application of:

Olson

Serial No.: 10/645,867

Filed: August 19, 2003

Examiner: Blankenship, Gregory A.

Group Art Unit: 3612

Attorney Docket: 8473.8.6.1

For: INTERCONNECTION SYSTEM  
FOR OVERHEAD FRAME  
STRUCTURES

To: Mail Stop Amendment  
Commissioner for Patents  
PO Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

) I hereby certify that this correspondence is being:

- ) ☒ deposited with the United States Postal  
) Service as first-class mail in an envelope  
) addressed to: Mail Stop Amendment,  
) Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450,  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.  
☐ facsimile transmitted to the Patent and  
Trademark Office  
☐ hand delivered to the Patent and Trademark  
Office

on this 22 day of March, 2006

By Theresa Russek  
Theresa Russek

**STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW**

Applicant's representatives would like to thank Examiner Blankenship for extending the courtesy of a telephonic interview on February 28, 2006 to discuss this case. The following recordation of the substance of the interview is believed to be complete and proper in accordance with MPEP 713.04. It is requested the Examiner notify the undersigned if the Examiner believes this statement contains any inaccuracies or if the Examiner believes this statement is otherwise not complete and proper.

Interview Participants: (1) Examiner Gregory A. Blankenship and (2) Applicant's attorney, John S. Parzych.

Agreement was reached during the interview.

No exhibit or demonstration was shown during the interview.

US Patent No. 5,829,219 (Sugawara et al.) was discussed during the interview.

Claim 31 was discussed during the interview.

During the interview, Applicant's attorney explained that the use of the term "releasable fasteners" in claim 31 was meant to infer that the fasteners are "releasable and reattachable" in use, which Applicant believes falls outside the teaching of the cited art. Further, if claim 31 were amended to include such "releasable and reattachable" language, it would appear to make claim 31 allowable in light of the cited art. Examiner Blankenship agreed with Applicant's attorney.

No other pertinent matters were discussed during the interview.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 3/22/06

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
John S. Parzych

Registration No. 52,097  
Fredrikson & Byron, P.A.  
200 Second Avenue South, Suite 4000  
Minneapolis, MN 55402  
(612) 492-7279

4006563\_1.DOC